



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/630,058	07/30/2003	Warren E. Guthrie		LEAR 04013 PUS / 04013	8189	
34007 7590 01/17/2007 BROOKS KUSHMAN P.C. / LEAR CORPORATION 1000 TOWN CENTER				EXAMINER		
				TRAN, TUAN A		
TWENTY-SECON SOUTHFIELD, M				ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2618		
,	. 10070 1200		•			
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
SHORTENED STATUTORY PE	RIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
3 MONTH	IS	01/17/2007	,	PAP	ER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	pplication No. Applicant(s)					
Office Action Commence	10/630,058	GUTHRIE ET AL.	GUTHRIE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Tuan A. Tran	2618					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may not will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mo ute, cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10	October 2006						
<u> </u>							
· <u> </u>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	•						
	I)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,8,10-18 and 20</u> is/are pending in the application.						
· -	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10-12</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-6 and 13-16</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) <u>2,8,17,18 and 20</u> is/are objected to							
	8) Claim(s) 2,8,77,78 and 20 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
are despess to restriction and	yor oloollon roquiroment.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	y Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application					

Art Unit: 2618

1. DETAILED ACTION

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 3-5 and 13-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dykema et al. (5,854,593).

Regarding claims 1, Dykema discloses a vehicle-based control system (See fig. 1) for use with a barrier operating system comprising a motor 66 for opening and closing a barrier, a receiver in communication with the motor 66, and a remote transmitter 65 for transmitting an activation signal, the activation signal comprising a radio frequency carrier signal with a code word, the activation signal for receipt by the receiver for use in activating the motor to open and close the barrier (See col. 5 lines 25-49), the control system comprising: a transceiver 58 to be mounted in a vehicle and configured to receive a plurality of radio frequency carrier signals and transmit an activation signal for receipt by the barrier operating system receiver 66; a controller 57 to be mounted in a vehicle in communication with the transceiver 58 and a user input device 44, 46, 47, the controller 57 configured to store the plurality of received radio frequency carrier signals in a digital radio frequency memory, receive user input identifying an activation scheme having at least a variable code word format associated

therewith (See fig. 8A and col. 13 lines 52-65), and in response to user input, generate a variable code word based on the identified activation scheme, select one of the plurality of stored radio frequency carrier signals from the digital radio frequency memory based on the identified activation scheme and transfer the selected radio frequency carrier signal to the transceiver, and control the transceiver to transmit an activation signal comprising the selected radio frequency carrier signal modulated with the generated variable code word (See fig. 8A and col. 15 lines 23-51, col. 5 line 51 to col. 6 line 27).

Claims 13-15 are rejected for the same reasons as set forth in claims 1, as method.

Regarding claim 3, Dykema discloses as cited in claim 1. Dykema further discloses the controller 57 is further configured to receive an indication whether the activation signal transmitted by the transceiver 58 successfully operated the barrier operating system (See col. 6 lines 45-59).

Claim 16 is rejected for the same reasons as set forth in claim 3, as method.

Regarding claim 4, Dykema discloses as cited in claim 1. Dykema further discloses the plurality of radio frequency carrier signals are received by the transceiver 58 and stored by the controller 57 in the digital radio frequency memory in a system set-up mode (See col. 6 lines 14-27).

Regarding claim 5, Dykema discloses as cited in claim 1. Dykema further discloses the user input device 44, 46, 47 comprises at least one button (See col. 5 lines 25-27).

٠ ﻣﯩ

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over
 Dykema et al. (5,854,593).

Regarding claim 6, Dykema discloses as cited in claim 1. However,

Dykema does not mention that the user input device is a touch-screen display.

Since the touch-screen display is widely known in the art as a specific type of input devices; therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the touch-screen display as a user input device for the advantage of expanding the capability of the system to various types of input devices.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 2, 8, 17-18 and 20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Regarding claims 2 and 8, Dykema discloses as cited in claim 1.

However, Dykema does not mention that the controller is configured to sample the carrier signal of the received activation signal.

Claims 17-18 and 20 are objected for the same reasons as set forth in claims 2 and 8, as method.

5. Claims 10-12 are allowed.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Regarding claims 10-12, Dykema discloses as cited in claim 1. However, Dykema does not mention that the controller is configured to sample the carrier signal of the received activation signal using the DRFM and transfers the sampled carrier signal from the DRFM to the transceiver.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments see Remark (page 3), filed 10/10/06; with respect to claim 10 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The finality of the rejection of the last Office Action has been withdrawn.

The Applicant argued that Dykema does not teach or suggest storing RF carrier signals in a DRFM (See Remark, page 2). The Examiner respectfully disagrees with the Applicant because the limitation "store the plurality of received radio frequency carrier signals", as recited in claims, is not narrow enough to prevent numerals indicative of the carrier signals from being stored as disclosed by Dykema.

Application/Control Number: 10/630,058

Art Unit: 2618

The Applicant argued that Dykema does not teach or suggest selecting one of a plurality of stored RF carrier signals from the digital radio frequency memory based on the activation scheme identified from the user input to transfer to the transceiver for the transceiver to transmit an activation comprising the selected RF carrier signal modulated with a variable or fixed codeword (See Remark, page 2 third paragraph). The Examiner respectfully disagrees with the Applicant's argument because Dykema does suggest a controller, upon detecting that one of switches 44, 46 and 47 has been depressed (receiving user input or user activation), reads the channel corresponding with the switch that has been pressed (selected channel corresponding to the user's activation) (See fig. 8A and col. 13 lines 52-65) and determines whether the selected channel has been trained, wherein during the training sequence, RF carrier signals associated with codes (fixed or variable) corresponding to switches 44, 46, and 47 respectively are down-converted, sampled, and stored in the memory as numerals indicative of the carrier signals (See col. 11 lines 15-24, col. 17 line 64 to col. 18 line 9). If the selected channel has been trained, the stored data (ASK data) associated with the selected channel is read from the memory (one of a plurality of stored RF signals is selected based on user input) (See col. 13 lines 62-65) and is upconverted by the VCO 73 of the transceiver (transfer to the transceiver) (See fig. 6A and col. 11 lines 15-24) to the RF carrier signal corresponding to the switch that has been pressed to be transmitted to the receiver of the garage door (transmit an activation signal comprising selected RF signal with variable or fixed code) (See col. 15 lines 23-51).

Art Unit: 2618

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuan A. Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-7858. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 10:00AM-6:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Anderson can be reached on (571) 272-4177. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Tuan Tran AU 2618 Application/Control Number: 10/630,058

Page 8

Art Unit: 2618